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Abstract

This thesis consists on developing an interactive lifelog search engine for the LSC 2018 search challenge at ACM ICMR 2018. This search engine is created in order to browse for images from a given lifelog dataset and display them along with some written information related to them and four other images providing contextualization about the searched one.

First of all, the work makes an introduction to the relevance of this project. It introduces the reader to the main social problems affronted and the aim of our project to deal with them. Thus, go ahead with the scope of the project introducing to the main objectives fixed. Also, the work is gone by the actual state of the same kind of prototypes that already exist to let the reader see the differences that our project presents.

After the project approach is done, it begins a travel trough the methodology and creation process, going deep in the main aspects and the explanation of every election and decision, also remarking the limits of the current prototype.

Additionally, the project concludes with a result section where the system is tested with six users. They are asked to find three specific images using the search engine. This test is divided in two sections: first, a qualitative section where the user is asked to test the system and fill out a survey to see how comfortable it is for him. And a second section, more quantitative, where they value the speed of our system.

Finally, the project concludes going through the actual and future ethics of lifelogging in general and with a final conclusion further investigation and future improvement.
Resum

Aquesta tesi consisteix a desenvolupar un sistema interactiu de cerca “lifelogging” per la competició LSC 2018 que té lloc al ACM ICMR 2018. Aquest sistema de cerca ha estat creat per tal de buscar imatges dins d’una base de dades “lifelog” donada per tal de ser mostrades juntament amb informació escrita relacionada amb aquestes. Juntament amb les imatges buscades quatre imatges més són mostrades per tal d’oferir contextualització sobre la principal.

Primer de tot, el treball ens introdueix sobre la importància d’aquest projecte. Ho fa introduint-nos els principals problemes socials i com el projecte pretén afrontar-los. Així, ens presenta l’abast del projecte plantejant-nos els principals objectius fixats. A part, el treball ens parla sobre l’estat actual dels sistemes que existeixen actualment, d’aquesta manera permetent a l’usuari veure les principals novetats que introdueix el projecte.

A més a més, un cop el plantejament del projecte està fet, comença un viatge a través de la metodología i el procés de creació aprofundint en els aspectes principals i la raó de cada elecció i decisió, sempre remarcant, també, els límits que presenta.

Addicionalment, el projecte conclou amb la secció de resultats on el sistema és testejat amb sis usuaris diferents. Aquests són demanats que cerquin tres imatges diferents amb el sistema de cerca. El test està dividit en dues seccions.

Una secció qualitativa on els usuaris són preguntats en forma d’enquesta per tal de veure la comoditat del sistema per ells.

I una qualitativa, on s’estudia la rapidesa del sistema.

Finalment, el projecte conclou presentant-nos les ètiques actuals i futures sobre el lifelogging i una conclusió final a on es subratllen les futures millores.
Resumen

Esta tesis consiste en desarrollar un sistema interactivo de búsqueda “lifelogging” para la competición LSC 2018 que tiene lugar en el ACM ICMR 2018. Este sistema de búsqueda ha sido creado con el fin de buscar imágenes dentro de una base de datos “lifelog” dada para ser mostradas junto con información escrita relacionada con estas. Junto con las imágenes buscadas cuatro imágenes más son mostradas para ofrecer contextualización sobre la principal.

Primeramente, el trabajo nos introduce sobre la importancia de este proyecto. Lo hace introduciéndonos los principales problemas sociales y como el proyecto pretende afrontarlos. Así, nos presenta el alcance del proyecto planteándonos los principales objetivos fijados. Aparte, el trabajo nos habla sobre el estado actual de los sistemas que existen actualmente, de esta manera permitiendo al usuario ver las principales novedades que introduce el proyecto.

Además, una vez el planteamiento del proyecto está hecho, comienza un viaje a través de la metodología y el proceso de creación profundizando en los aspectos principales y la razón de cada elección y decisión, siempre remarcando, también, los límites que presenta.

Adicionalmente, el proyecto concluye con la sección de resultados donde el sistema es testado con seis usuarios diferentes. Estos son pedidos que busquen tres imágenes diferentes con el sistema de búsqueda. El test está dividido en dos secciones.

Una sección cualitativa donde los usuarios son preguntados en forma de encuesta para ver la comodidad del sistema para ellos.

Y una cualitativa, donde se estudia la rapidez del sistema.

Finalmente, el proyecto concluye presentándonos las éticas actuales y futuras sobre el lifelogging y una conclusión final en donde se subraya las futuras mejoras.
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Chapter 1

Introduction

1.1 Object

Searching for a specific music on iTunes to a movie on Netflix or even a gift on Amazon. Searching, browsing and retrieving multimedia from a large database are the challenges that billions of people face everyday. It is possible for the users to find the particular information they are looking for with just by entering a text description or tag.

The same happens with the images. Early systems \cite{22} relied on image metadata such as a set of keywords or tags, or textual descriptions of the image content. That’s why, as if it were text, people can search also for specific images by entering textual queries.

The problem appears when there is no metadata, tags or textual descriptions associated with the images. Then, the retrieve of images becomes a task impossible to do in an automatic way. This means that the only way to search for an image automatically is analyzing the content of every image.

For obtaining these descriptors, computer vision algorithms are used. This algorithms obtain textual data summarizing the content of an image to a numerical vector called image representation. Also, retrieving images for the conceptual things and not for its metadata, tags or textual descriptions avoid the problem of having subjective descriptions and also having to manually generate them.

The aim of the project deals with these needs. The main objective is to create an interactive lifelog search engine developed for the LSC 2018 search challenge at ACM ICMR 2018. Specifically, the search engine has to be optimized to combine the retrieve of images since the conceptual words obtained from this computer vision algorithms, with the retrieve of information about external aspects associated with these images obtained from sources other than images.

Studies by Petrelli and Whittaker\cite{17} in 2010 of digital family memorabilia (such as photos, videos, scanned images, and email) showed that digital archives are rarely accessed. In the same study,\cite{25} people was asked to select the objects mnemonic significance in their homes within large collections of digital past recorded data. Less than 2 percent of the objects they reported were digital. Other work has found that users with large amounts of digital photos never access to the majority of them.\cite{28}

While this lack of interest in digital data doesn’t imply that all digital archives have little value, it raises questions about their utility in reminding or reviewing the past\cite{25}. Some researchers have argued that this is a simple lack of new access tools (such as desktop search) that could facilitate exploitation of digital archives and lifelog.\cite{21}

Thus, this project was faced with important challenges related with social aspects. So, the design of a good User Interface means that a good operation of it has to go together with a user
friendly system.

This studies helped establishing the main goals:

- Understand the image retrieval problem and study the wide range of research on it.
- Design and build a User Interface, with all the functionalities needed, that interacts with a server.
- Select the useful information and build a database where the User Interface can make queries and search for information.
- Create an intermediate server that works as a link between the User Interface and the database server.
- Evaluate the system with some users and think about possible improvements.

1.2 Scope

This project has been done with some specifications given by LSC 2018. LSC 2018 provided a dataset that consists of a wide array of multimodal data of an individual’s life experience captured via wearable and software sensors. This dataset, formed by images and written data, represents the baseline of the project, could be totally or partially used or even enlarged. With this given data we can establish the main requirements that the search engine have to satisfy:

- Create this User Interface as a web-page form.
- Find and implement the best way to let the user introduce the queries in an easy way.
- Be able to display the correct images that correspond with user’s search in an easy way to understand.
- Contextualize the images also displaying two previous and post images, and textual information.
- Let the user select the searched images which submits to the LSC server for validation.

1.3 Methods and procedures

This project arises as a collaboration opportunity with The Insight Center for Data Analytics in DCU. The idea came up from Cathal Gurrin, the supervisor from this center, and LSC 2018 workshop.

Therefore, the built interface will merge knowledge of lifelogging, ergo working with a big amount of data, with web programming. Given any user’s request the system produces a list of images and contextual text that are relevant to the query, ordered by a score.

$^1$See 4.1.5 for more information about the score algorithm.
On one side, the proposed system has to implement a quick database server, that lets make full text search \[26\]. MySQL was choose for this task.

On the other side, the UI system is developed with JavaScript code in ReactJS. Interacting with a Python server in Flask that works as a intermediate-server in which the retrieval engine is incorporated.
Chapter 2

State of the art and context

2.1 Lifelogging

Lifelogging is a new concept and has only recently attracted the attention of the research community [12]. One standard definition of lifelogging states that it is "the action or practice of making a continuous record of one’s daily activities by means of a digital device or computer application".

One of the first lifeloggers known was Robert Shields, who recorded in a written diary during 25 years – between 1972 and 1997 – his everyday life in intervals of 5 minutes, what actually means a diary of 37.5 million words. Fortunately, in recent years the data is usually captured automatically by wearable technology or mobile devices, but it was not till 1994 that appeared the first person to do it. It was Steve Mann who started recording all this data with live first-person video, using the wearable camera that you can see left in the Figure 2.1.

Nowadays, the growing research interest in lifelogging has been facilitated by the market-availability of a range of lifelogging and quantified self devices that can digitally capture a wide range of life activities, from more sophisticated wearable cameras for all-of-lifelogging to the more targeted health and wellness devices commonly referred to as quantified self devices [14]. Coming with these changes we can find Gordon Bell who represents an important icon in lifelogging community.

Figure 2.1: Evolution of wearable cameras.

With such a range of lifelogging devices, one can easily gather, in an automated manner, a wide range of data about the life experience of the individual, such as image data from smartphones or wearable cameras, audio recordings, location data or biometric data, to name only a few.

Like any activity, lifelogging has different stages. Gurrin et al. [9] identified five stages in lifelogging: capture, storage, processing, access and publication.

- **Capture**: In this stage, the lifelogging device captures lifelogs in an automatic and con-
tinuous fashion. The captured lifelogs are temporarily stored at the internal storage of the device.

- **Storage:** Captured lifelogs from the device are either stored in a computer storage or uploaded to a cloud storage. Stored lifelogs remain in the storage medium in a potential permanent state until they are discarded.

- **Processing:** After stored, in case of images, lifelogs are analyzed using image processing algorithms for extracting inner semantics which then can be used for temporal–spatial clustering, event segmentation and object/people detection and recognition. In other cases, when the information is less complex, like when the information is originating from health devices, this step is omitted.

- **Access:** The lifelogger has the ability to access the captured and analysed lifelogs using a User Interface (UI). The UI generally organizes the processed lifelogs in order to present them in a meaningful way. For example, the UI can display the lifelogs based on spatio-temporal attributes or for similar content using advanced visualization mechanisms. [3, 2].

- **Publication:** In this last step, either the lifelogger shares or delegates the task to another person to share her lifelogs with other people.

Also, Gurrin et al. identifies four different actors in the process of lifelogging, specially when are used wearable cameras [9].

- **The Lifelogger:** A lifelogger is the entity which utilises a lifelogging device to capture and store lifelogs. Normally, it is assumed that a lifelogger is a person, even though it is find debates that also consider inanimate objects such as a robotic device as lifeloggers [11].

- **The Bystander:** A bystander is any person who is captured (intentionally, incidentally or accidentally) in a lifelog of a different person. The particular thing is that there is no interaction with the bystander. Examples of bystanders are strangers in an environment, family members, friends, colleagues, etc.

- **The Subject:** A subject is any person who is captured (intentionally or incidentally) in a lifelog of the lifelogger during their interaction.

- **The Host:** It is the entity which bears the responsibility of storing a lifelog of the lifelogger. It can be the same lifelogger, when the lifelog is stored in a hard disk or local setting. Or an external entity like a cloud, if the lifelog is stored in a remote cloud.

## 2.2 ACM ICMR AND LSC 2018

### 2.2.1 ACM ICMR

Setting up in 2011 on the Italian city Trento and celebrating this year their 7th edition in Yokohama (Japan), according to the Chinese Computing Federation Conference Ranking, ACM ICMR has become the premier scientific conference for multimedia retrieval worldwide and the fourth highest conference worldwide in the wide area of multimedia and graphics.
It was created with the mission to display scientific achievements and innovative industrial products in the field of multimedia retrieval by bringing together researchers and practitioners. The different sessions encourage the discussion related with the current state of the art, directions for the future, large-scale media evaluations, and demonstrations of current technology.

The main objectives of ICMR are the following:

1. To provide a setting for the presentation and discussion of high-quality original research papers in all aspects of multimedia retrieval.

2. To provide a forum for the exchange of ideas between researchers and practitioners in the field.

3. To provide a range of complementary events such as panel sessions, exhibitions, workshops, and large-scale media evaluations.

4. To provide suitable facilities for informal networking and exchange of ideas between delegates.

2.2.2 LSC 2018

For the first time, the annual ACM ICMR 2018 conference is organizing a participation workshop called Lifelog Search Challenge (LSC). LSC is born with the intention to become an annual live lifelog search competition, where international researchers evaluate and demonstrate their multimodal interactive lifelog search engine on a shared dataset in front of an audience.

In order to participate, the competitors must prepare a scientific paper (Annex B) that describes their interactive search engine and how it operates. From all the papers presented LSC uses a review process to select the ones who finally participate in the workshop. As a primordial part of the competition, each team has to build an exploratory multimedia search tool that allows for retrieval, interactive browsing, exploration, or navigation in a multimedia without any restriction in terms of the allowed features. For building this lifelog search engine LSC provides a dataset[^1] that consists of wide array of multimodal data of an individual’s life experience captured during one month via wearable and software sensors.

All these previous work ends at the day of the workshop. The format of the workshop is divided in two parts:

- The first part consists in an oral presentation of each team about the approaches taken to develop their interactive lifelog search system.

- After, every participating group takes part in a real-time search competition in which teams compete to solve fifteen known-item search tasks in the shortest time possible. Every search done for every teams search engine is updated to a server and qualified with a score. At the end the team with the highest score is the one who wins the competition.

[^1]: For a full explanation of the dataset look section 4.1.1
2.3 Interactive retrieval engine

Without there having been similar tasks in the past, there are not many interactive retrieval engines that were developed for lifelog data previously.

The seminal MyLifeBits [8] project at Microsoft, architect and created by Jim Gemmell of Microsoft Research and Roger Lueder also developed a lifelog retrieval engine based on an underlying database system, which is generally regarded as the first lifelog retrieval system. This uses Gordon Bell’s document archive as well as his current activities as a vehicle for the research, the documents represents a large amount of data originating from articles, books, music, photos, and video as well as everything office documents, email, digital photos or even later was included information from particular applications related with health and wellness. It has at its heart a SQL Server database that can store content and metadata for a variety of types including contacts, documents, email, events, photos, songs, and videos.

The LEMoRe [5], an interactive lifelog retrieval engine, developed in the context of the Lifelog Semantic Access Task (LSAT) of the the NTCIR-12 challenge [10]. LEMoRe integrated classical image descriptors with high-level semantic concepts extracted by Convolutional Neural Networks [23], powered by a graphical user interface that uses natural language processing to process a user’s query, using a SQL server ordered by its time, date and user.

Finally, Doherty et al. [6], developed an interactive event-driven lifelog browser for visual lifelog data that segmented days into events, based on analysis of visual and sensor data, organizing and linking events together in a single diary-style interface. Extending this work, an interactive faceted lifelog search engine[7] was developed that allowed the user to select a number of contextual factors in order to find an event of interest from an archive that spanned a number of years.

Building on experiences from this past work, is developed the experimental search engine which forms the main contribution of this project.

---

2https://gordonbell.azurewebsites.net/
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Approach to a search engine

A search engine is defined as a "program that searches for and identifies items in a database that correspond to keywords or characters specified by the user".

Before building a search engine is needed to make an approach, considering some questions and trying to respond them:

- **How often must be update the data?** The database is the one fixed by LSC. This data can be increased or used partially in the beginning but once the system is done no one can increase it.

- **What must it search, in what context?** It has to search for the lifelog data provided for LSC 2018. From this dataset a database with different kind of data related with some images and also to information of the user at the moment the image was taken is done.

- **How much data must it search?** The database is formed by information about more or less 40,500 images divided in ten different fields. It means more than 405,000 cells with different information.

- **Would it like search to work offline?** There are two server environments to choose from: local and remote. A local server is hosted locally on an own computer while a remote server is hosted elsewhere. The system is devised for working in the localhost so the server is hosted locally, it means that can work without Internet connection, letting be a quick Desktop Browser.

Also a good search engine must display the information ordered by its importance, the documents that match more with the queries have to appear before the once that match less with the queries. Thus, the responses of the queries have to be ranked. This pre-established appearance makes that the search engines must have implemented full text search.

Full text search is the technique for examine all the fields of the database and search for a specific word or a collection in order to find the most relevant documents. The results are ranked by its relevance.

For working good this full text search needs some flexible functionality to find relevant results. The most important are:

- **Stemming:** Is the process of reducing inflected words to their word stem. So for fisher it matches results like fish, fishing or fished.

- **Stopword handing:** Search engines need to avoid irrelevant results caused by matching common words such as a and the.

Also a good search engine interface should provide additional features on the input side like autocomplete or autosuggested as the main once. The image browser doesn’t accomplish with all these things. It can support stopwords but not stemmer while it doesn’t support autocomplete or autosuggested.
Chapter 4

Methodology

4.1 The Back-End

The back-end, or the "server-side", is basically how the site works, updates and changes. This refers to everything the user can’t see in the browser, like databases and servers.\footnote{https://www.pluralsight.com/blog/film-games/whats-difference-front-end-back-end}

Lifelogging involves multiple sensors and generates a big amount of data. LSC provides this dataset obtained from a lifelogger that represents the baseline of the project. For working with all this is needed to store it to a database. To create a useful database its needed to divide the task in different steps. Firstly, must be understand and later selected, enriched and stored.

4.1.1 LSC 2018 Dataset

The LSC lifelog dataset consists of a wide array of multimodal data of an individual’s life experience captured via wearable and software sensors. The dataset was gathered over a period of 27 days by a single individual and it includes:

- **Multimedia data**: There are more or less one or more images of every minute 27 days user life stored. It represents about 1,500 images per day taken by a wearable camera. So, if there are 27 days of data it means that the database is about 40,500 images. These are Point of View (PoV) images and with them can be interpreted the different actions the lifelogger was doing those days. These images are accompanied by the output of a concept detector, created with Computer Vision algorithms, which identifies the dominant visual concepts in every image with their score. This images are previous anonymised pixelating the subjects or the bystanders face and also important information like personal messages from the mobile phone. In addition, is included a music listening history of the lifelogger with the exact moment that the lifelogger was listening each music.
• **Biometric data:** It is formed for biometric data like heart rate, galvanic skin response, calorie burn and steps stored almost every minute of the day. Also there are some data about blood pressure and blood sugar daily.

• **Human activity data:** Consists of information about the semantic locations visited, the physical activities, the daily mood and a diet log made of manual logging of photos.

• **Computer Usage:** Represents a vector of every minute stored data filtered using blacklists, anonymity and then stemmed.

### 4.1.2 Data Selection and Enrichment

Working with a big amount of data can be useless sometimes. As bigger is the database as slower will be the system. For this reason is important to from all the data given select the useful one. This is the first step, and for doing it is needed to be clear about how the search interface is and which kind of queries the user wants to do. This made select some data.

On one hand, from multimedia data, working with the images is selected. The images represent the engine of the browser and the final result displayed to the user. In order to let the search engine understands the images is important to provide it the conceptual information of every image.

On the other hand, the music listening history is discarded considering as useless information due to the fact that there are few stored information about that.

Because the search engine is not only created to select images for its content if not also for what represents each image in lifelogger’s life it works with this other kind of information.

About biometric data to work with heart rate is selected because is what gives more information about the lifelogger. Depending on the heart rate can be deduced if the lifelogger was resting, doing a normal activity or being physically active.

Finally, it’s useful to know where or what the user was doing at the moment the image was taken for this reason it works with the location and the physical activity of the lifelogger at the
moment the picture was taken. For the activity there are words like driving, walking or transport.

Also, some new data is created from the given once. The system lets the user make queries for the exact day of the week or the exact moment of the day. So, from the different dates given is created a small program in Matlab to change the dates to the exact day of the week, like Monday, Tuesday... Also with the same program the exact hour and minute was exchanged to the exact moment of the day (Morning, Afternoon, Evening, Night) taking into count the following table 4.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Moment of the day</th>
<th>Hour range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Morning</td>
<td>5 am - 11:59 am</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Afternoon</td>
<td>12 pm - 5 pm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evening</td>
<td>5:01 pm - 9 pm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Night</td>
<td>9:01 pm - 4:59 am</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.1: Relationship between the moment of the day and the hour range.

### 4.1.3 Database

Is important to store all this data to a high performance server-side database that lets the user make queries in an easy way. The election of the database is very important; here is where the system has to make the queries. This is the engine of our browser.

For that is divide the database in two parts.

First of all, it is used a MySQL server-side database. MySQL is an open-source relational database management system that now a days is used by many of the best organizations like Facebook, Google or Adobe. For this system is also the best option for working due to its easy-use, free server system, fast speed and consistent data structures. Also MySQL is very scalable, thing that lets increase the database for a possible future. Even so, the main fact to use MySQL is that supports in an easy way full text search.

In this database is needed to store information about exactly 40679 images from the dataset. This information is divided in ten different fields. These fields are created due to the information that is stored is useful to display or to make queries. The fields are the following once:

- **path:** Where the path of the image is stored. This is what the database returns after the user makes the query to allow the interface display the search image.
- **date:** The date when the image was taken in YYYY-MM-DD format. Is needed to display in the interface in the information-of-the-image box.

Look Chapter 3 for more information about it.
• **hour:** The hour when the image was taken in digital format HH:MM. Like the date is displayed in the information-of-the-image box.

• **moment:** Stores the information previously created related with the moment of the day when the image was taken.

• **place:** Stores the information about the place where the user was in the moment of the image. Not always there is information about that.

• **activity:** Related with the activity the user was doing. The kind of words stored here are walking or transport to name just a few.

• **heart_rate:** Storing the heart rate of the lifelogger. It is also important because it is displayed in the information-of-the-image box.

• **h_levels:** The heart rate data is segmented into three categories; resting (for heart rate levels from 0 to less than 60), normal (between 60 and 100 bpm), and physically active (more than 100). This field is needed for searching in the database from the queries done in the interface.

• **words:** All the conceptual word related with the images are stored here. This field is only used to match the conceptual queries with the correct image.

Firstly, this database was design just creating 40679 rows representing every image and 10 columns representing each field. However, inspired in LEMoRe work and taking some ideas from there, the design from the following schema 4.2 has been implement. This schema is created in relation with the queries that the user can introduce to the UI. In comparison with the first schema the second one is designed splitting the database in five different tables letting make more precise queries, an create a more fast search engine. These tables are grouped taking into account the relation of the fields and the kind of queries that the UI lets to introduce.

![Database schema](image)

Figure 4.2: Database schema.

Also this new schema, lets reduce the number of rows in some fields, that in the beginning were storing empty cells.

Probably the most difficult thing to store are the images. The images represent a big amount of data and storing them in the database would increase a lot the size of the database fact that decrease the speed of the system.

Thus, the best solution for the management of the images seems to be to store the images into an intermediate server.
4.1.4 Flask as an intermediate server

This intermediate server is created with Flask.

Flask is a micro web framework written in Python, initially released in 2010. It is classified as a microframework because it does not require particular tools or libraries. It has no database abstraction layer, form validation, or any other components where pre-existing third-party libraries provide common functions. However, Flask supports extensions that can add application features as if they were implemented in Flask itself. For its easy started and good performance Flask is becoming very popular. Actually, some well known applications like Pinterest or LinkedIn are using it. Flask is also used because works with Python language. Python is a high-level and dynamic programming language that focuses on code readability. It is characterized by improving programmer’s productivity.

This server is placed between the UI and MySQL server as in Figure 4.3 working together with the UI in localhost but in different ports. This lets use this intermediate server in two different ways.

On one hand, this server is used for making the queries to the database. Working with Flask lets use the Python library MySQLdb that allows interacting with MySQL in an easy way. The normal PHP queries\(^3\) can be made thanks to that library.

![Diagram of network design](image)

Figure 4.3: Net design

This queries have to be made in order to obtain the paths of the images that satisfy the needs of the user. Thus, the intermediate server has to receive the queries introduced from the UI and translate them to make the proper queries to the database. Because the UI also display the images from the previous and following actions the server creates not only one query if not three different ones. Finally, it has to return the different paths and data from the searched images.

On the other hand, as introduce before is where the images are stored letting decrease the size of the database. Thus, the path that the server is sending to the UI is also pointing this server.

---

\(^3\)To know about the queries see 4.1.4.1
4.1.4.1 The queries

The main query is split in different parts. In these two parts the queries are divided in two types. One type is applied in the fixed search filter options and another type in the free-text search bar.

For the fixed search filter options are applied simple SQL queries. This queries consists in an easy structure.

\[
\text{SELECT col\_name FROM tbl\_name WHERE col\_name = 'search\_parm'};
\]

This kind of queries will return just the id of the images from the ones that match with the search word. Thus, because each query just can search inside one table is needed to ideate an ingenious search structure.

The search operates like an inverse funnel. First it searches for the more diverse information, that makes start the search for the narrow part of the funnel, making discard the search in a lot of cells. This is why searches in the \textit{Date} table firstly. Then it searches in to the \textit{Moment} table due to it is splits in four types (Morning, Afternoon, Evening and Night), followed by the \textit{Heart} that just has three types (low, normal, high) and represents the less diverse information.

In the case that not all the possible inputs are introduce and the user just wants to search for the moment, for example, the first search step is omitted and searches directly in the second table, in other words that is the \textit{Moment}. Also the third step search is omitted. But if all the inputs of the UI are introduced it works like a chain. The first step search returns the id’s of the images that satisfy the queries and this id’s together with the moment input origin from the UI are introduced to the second query. This last step is also reproduce in the third search but with the heart level information. From these searches is obtained a vector with just the ids of the images that satisfy the whole queries.

\textbf{Input: date, moment, heart level}

\begin{verbatim}
if date then
| Search for images that satisfy date and return ids vector.

if moment then
| Search for images that satisfy moment and update the ids vector.

if heartlevel then
| Search for images that satisfy heartlevel and update the ids vector.
\end{verbatim}

However for the free text search bar is implemented Full Text Search. To this second queries is passed the ids vector obtained from the queries made before. Using Full Text Search to the queries needs the proper way to do it.
Starting off the queries can only be made with CHAR, VARCHAR and TEXT columns. There are three types of Full Text Search that can be used with MySQL.

- **Natural Language Mode:** The natural language search interprets the search string as a phrase in natural human language. This mode implements standard enhancements, such as stopword removal.

- **Boolean Mode:** Interprets the search using special query language. The queries are made using the words to search but also can contain operators that specify requirements such that a word must be present or absent in matching rows. This mode also implements stopword removal.

- **With Query Expansion:** Is a modification of a natural language search. The search is used performing a natural language search. Later the words from the most relevant rows returned by the search are added to the search string and the search is done again. The final query returns the rows from the second search.

In the project case working with Natural Language Mode is selected. Working with Query Expansion was the first idea. It seems to be a very useful and smart way to work. However it was discarded for this project. Query Expansion is more thought for searching inside text with its own context. For example, if the user searches for database using this mode can search also for "MySQL" or "Oracle", if the text talks about these two types of databases. In the case of this project sometimes the words are not related between them. This fact created that the queries where almost the same as using Natural Language Mode. Thus, as Natural Language Mode works faster the first option it was discarded.

The main query structure used for doing Natural Language Queries is the following one:

\[
\text{SELECT col\_name\_to\_return, MATCH(col\_name\_search) AGAINST ('words\_to\_search') as score FROM table\_name WHERE MATCH(col\_name\_search) AGAINST('words\_to\_search') > 0 ORDER BY score DESC;}
\]

This query structure is more complex and lets make more relevant searches filtering by the relevance score of the result. The relevance score quantifies how good of a match it is for the search term, where 0 is not relevant at all.

First of all, the MATCH() portion of the command specifies which section of columns are indexed using Full Text Search. The AGAINST() portion specifies which word are performing a Full Text Search. as score portion labels the last column of the output as score. From these scores thanks to the last portion shows only rows with nonzero relevance scores. And finally, with the last portion ORDER BY score DESC sorts the results by relevance from the most relevant to the less. Therefore, if two words are introduce in the search bar. The query looks for both in the database. If one image has both words it has the highest score but if has just one it has also a score so it appears in the final paths vector. Only the paths that has no one of the words doesn’t appear in the final result.

\[4\]The score algorithm is explained in 4.1.5
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Thus, at the end is obtained a final vector with the path of the 50 first images and some textual data also needed ordered by the relevance that the words introduced in the free-text search bar have in the database filter by some topics that are also introduce using the fixed search filter options, as is commonly employed for interactive retrieval systems [27]. The reason why this vector only returns the first 50 images is explained in 5.2.

From the final vector is selected the different information and is transformed to eight different json lists, one for the paths and seven for the textual information, and is the one that is send to the UI to display the images and the text.

However, also are send two more json list objects with the contextual images, one for the two previous images and another one for the two post images from the main one. These images are obtained using the scores and ids of the main images.

Given the passive nature of lifelog data capture, a naive approach would be to simple select the previous occurring images to be shown on the screen. However, lifelog data tends to be very repetitive, so it is used another system to display these images.

The method consists in doing the same query as in the main image with the difference that in this new queries are also passed the ids of the main images obtained and their scores.

With these ids and scores the system looks for the images that are before and after the main one and have a different score. Once it is find this image together with the following one are returned in json form. In this case just the path is returned, because the information about the image is not needed to display in the UI.

Additionally, is considered the case that the main image doesn’t have images from a different score before or after. In this case the previous and following from the main are displayed.

Also, the case of the two first and last images of the database is considered. In this case, due to there aren’t previous or post images the main image is displayed twice or once, respectively.

At the end three different json lists are returned for the images together with seven more json lists for each textual parameter that are displayed in the UI.

4.1.5 Ranking Engine

The ranking engine is the most important part of this search engine. It is needed to store all the images from the main one to the less important one to let the user find the search image in an easy and fast way. This ranking engine is only applied in the Free-Text search bar.

It integrates TF-IDF ranking. TF-IDF represents the product of two statistics, term frequency and inverse document frequency. TF-IDF is a statistical approach to information retrieval that is intended to reflect how important a word is in our database, so the words that appear less in our database have a higher score than words that appear more often.

TF is expressed like:

\[
TF = (\log(dt_f) + 1)/sumdtf \times U/(1 + 0.0115 \times U)
\]

Where \(dt_f\) is the number of times the term appears in the document.

\(sumdtf\) is the sum of \((\log(dt_f) + 1)\)'s for all terms in the same document.
U is the number of unique terms in the document.

\[ IDF = \log\left(\frac{N - nf}{nf}\right) \]

Where \( N \) is the total number of documents and \( nf \) is the number of documents that contain the term.

Since the annotations for the LSC dataset are generated automatically by content analysis tools, the natural variability in human text does not occur in the LSC collection. Hence, concepts such as term frequency weighting of terms are unlikely to play any significant role in the ranking process because there are no same words in the same cell or "document". However, the inverse document frequency concept from information retrieval is important in that it allows for the higher ranking of concepts and the use of TF-IDF ranking includes the inverse document frequency weighing in the IDF component.

4.2 The Front-End

The front-end is everything involved with what the user sees, including design and some languages like HTML and CSS. The front-end has to be design thinking in the user. It has to be easy to understand and also it has to provide rapid querying and efficient browsing of results. A good front-end can be the difference between a system that the user will use often or not.

4.2.1 React JS - Multi-Faceted Query Interface

It is selected to work with ReactJS for building the UI. ReactJS is an open-source JavaScript library which is implemented for many of the most well known companies like Facebook, Instagram, Netflix, Yahoo or even WhatsApp for its speed, scalability and simplicity.

Speed and simplify are achieved thanks to their two phases character. It when the user introduces queries to the UI ReactJS doesn’t change the view, it as a first phase updates and saves the internal state of the component. After that it updates the view with a new render method. This is called unidirectional data flow, meaning that the data just flows in one direction from state to views, leaving the trip back.

Also, ReactJS works faster thanks to how deals with DOM\(^5\). Normally, browsers need to check all the CSS and redisplay operations on the website. However, ReactJS can work using a Virtual DOM\(^19\), without needing to modify the DOM.

The User Interface is designed taking in count an already done web page interfaces for faceted search systems (e.g. hotel booking or fight booking).

\(^5\)Document Object Model is a HTML document created by the browser when a page is loaded.
It consists in two main sections, as in Figure 4.4. On the left side, the query panel is displayed which contains the faceted and free-text query elements. On the right side is the result display panel, where the different images and text related with the main image appears.

Given the fact that the number of unique annotated terms in the collection could be very large (especially in terms of visual concepts and locations), it replaces the typical drop-down list with a free-text search box on the query panel. This search box matched against content related about conceptual things of the images and also place and activity data sources and is hoped to simplify the use of the system for the user. In additional to the free-text search, it is added some more faceted search filter options:

- **Day-of-the-week selector.** Consists in a button group filter that lets the user search content by the day the event represented in the topic occurred.

- **Dropdown calendar selector** to choose and exact date. It has an additional check button to choose to disable the function.

- **Moment-of-the-day selector.** A checkbox group to filter content that occur at certain time of the day.

- **Checkbox place selector** that allows filtering into indoor/outdoor.

- **Heart-rate button group selector** to choose the various degrees of physical activity of the lifelogger.

Highlighting the visual context of the image by borrowing from concepts previously used in interactive video retrieval systems⁴, the visual context of each ranked image is displayed by the highlighting of two previously occurring and following images. So, in total are displayed five images, placing bigger, in the middle, the main one and smaller the contextual ones.

The interface lets the user click on all the images and select to send each image to LSC server. Before sending the image to the server an alert panel is displayed to confirm the submission of the image, avoiding possible errors.
Figure 4.4: User Interface design.

Also, an extra thing added in the interface is a text box in the right side where are displayed few important things about the moment the image was taken, such as temporal, location and activity data.
Chapter 5

Results

Finally, to sum up with the thesis it is done a assessment of the system presenting some results.

For doing this assessment it was asked to six different people familiarize with computers and technology in general to test the system. This test consists in using the system to browse for three different images. This images are selected to be no common images and to have specific information in them. This things make easier the search for users that are not specialized with the system. Together with this images was attached some information related with the moment to let the user search the information using the other selection items.

![Figure 5.1: Images selected for the test.](image)

From this test is obtained two kind of assessment. Qualitatively and Quantitatively.

5.1 Qualitatively - UI feedback

As it was introduce is important to build a user friendly system. As much as the system works good if it doesn’t do it in a comfortable way nobody will use it. For this reason a first qualitatively way to assess the system is done.

After using the system to search for the three images the user was asked to fill a questionnaire. This questionnaire is made up of seven questions all of them related on how the user feels with the UI. And the comprehension of the different information that is displayed in the screen. This questionnaire was asked to answer for the user giving to each question a punctuation between 1 and 5, being 1 a strongly disagree and 5 strongly agree.

From these punctuations given, the final table is done with the average punctuation for every question.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Questions</th>
<th>Punctuation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1  I like how the Interface lets me introduce the queries.</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2  I think the way the images are displayed is intuitive and with a good design.</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3  I think the text displayed is a good idea to give more information about the main image.</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4  I like how the text is displayed.</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5  I think the system works good.</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6  I think the system is useful.</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7  I would use one system like this in a future.</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5.1: Questionnaire with the average feedback of the users.

With this questionnaire has been tried to asked to the user about the main functionalities to see how comfortable are they with the Interface and to try to know the loose points of the Interface. Also, in the questionnaire are added two more questions about what the user thinks about this kind of systems and lifelogging in general.

From this questionnaire and some feedback that user gives during the test some conclusions about the functionality of the system can be make:

The query section provides facilities and an easy way to make queries. However, some users think that more options could be added in this section related with the activity or the place using a drop-down list with the common places or activities.

In relation with the images the users agree giving a good punctuation an pointing that maybe is the strong point of the Interface. However, it is point that a bigger separation between images could represent a good improvement.

In regard to the text the users agree saying that is a good idea to provide more information with the text section. However, the users also agree saying that the design could be better, placing the text below the main image or even placing text for also the contextual images.

Finally, about the usability the users think that works good and could be very useful for some situations related to the lack of memory about actions done in the past or for remembering good moments or situations. However, for the users lifelogging is a hard thing to think about. The majority of users agree with the fact that wearing a wearable camera can attempt to the privacy of the different subjects and bystanders. Also, some of they think that taking the routine of wearing every day the different devices can be difficult, with the inconvenient that not hearing these devices one day can affect representing a lose of information and memories of one day.

A part of these previous things from the feedback of some users can be extract that a better design of the UI could really attract more the user to work with it. Adding more colors or even
some logos.
The UI is created not taking into account some aspects related with the design. Is more focused in the efficiency of the system.

5.2 Quantitative - Search Speed

For the Quantitative assessment the six different users were asked to search for the three images as fast as they could. Then, the time they spend to do each of the queries has been calculated in three different phases. First the time spend for making the query, then the time for displaying the images and finally the time for searching the good image from the other once and clicking the button to submit it. In this last phase, its add the extra time that the user needs in the case that in the first search don’t succeed and have to realize a second search.

In the following Figure 5.2 is showed the average time of the six users for each search.

![Figure 5.2: Average results of the quantitative test done with the users.](image)

From the previous Figure is conclude that the query section gives a fast input way to introduce the queries to the system. Actually is the phase where users spend less time. After in the second phase its seen an increase of time, actually users take around twice from the first phase. It represents around 18.67 seconds to display 50 images. For the competition can be a large amount of time.

A comparison to see the relation between number of images displayed and time is done 5.3. Concluding that as less images as fast is the system, thus, it could be studied to reduce the number of images displayed to achieve a fast display of the images, taking into account that it could hinder the search.
Finally, the third phase is probably the slowest part, some times, as there are many similar actions, finding the one that interests to the user is not as easy at it should be. Actually, in this phase is where its find more difference of time. However, all the users succeed with the search of the test images.
Also, sometimes the alert panel placed when an image is selected to send can represent a small amount of time spend.

5.3 Goals achieved

Regarding the results obtained, it seems clear that the main purposes have been accomplished.
The main goals of the project were:

• **To understand the problem.** It has been done making a study of the state of art of lifelogging and the previous similar works in Chapter 2. Also, an introduction to a search engine is done in Chapter 3.

• **To build a UI with some require functionalities.** This UI is created letting the user introduce the desire queries, displaying the images in an understanding way. And finally with a contextualization in form of two previous and post images and text. Finally, also these images can be submitted in LSC server.

• **Design a database.** A fast query database has been build. Letting the user make quickly searches.

• **Build an intermediate server.** It has been crated to work as a link between the database and the UI.

• **Assessment of the system.** Finally the system has been test with some users and some conclusions have been obtained.
Chapter 6

Budget

This project has been developed using the resources provided by Insight, a center of Data Analytics allocated in the Dublin City University (DCU), so there are not maintenance costs.

Thus, the main costs of this projects comes from the salary of the researches and the time spent in it. It is considered one supervisor, Cathal Gurrin, who was providing advise during the project. Every week we did around an hour of meeting that is considered in the budget. The wage/hour for the supervisor is done considering him as a senior engineer. Also, it is considered me as a junior engineer realizing around 6 hours per day.

It is considered that the total duration of the project is of 17 weeks.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Wage/hour</th>
<th>Dedication</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Junior engineer</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8,00 €/h</td>
<td>30 h/week</td>
<td>4,080 €</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior engineer</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>20,00 €/h</td>
<td>1 h/week</td>
<td>340 €</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>4,420 €</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6.1: Budget of the project
Chapter 7

Ethics

Lifelogs and their correspondent retrieve can represent a personal and social change in people’s life. It is unclear what lifelogging technology in common usage will be designed to do. More specifically it is still unclear how popular it will become, and how people will use the data they store. What we do know nowadays, however, is that people tend to document their experiences, and that nearly everyone has occasionally wished for a better memory [1].

One filed where lifelogging is developing an important task and could make big changes in a near future is in heal. Episodic memory impairment (EMI) is the main symptom associated with Alzheimer’s disease, a common neurodegenerative disease that affects over 26 million people worldwide. These impairments can enable to mentally travel back in time and relive experiences. EMI can lead to a loss in autonomy and control in individuals’ lives, resulting in feelings of uncertainty, irritation, and frustration [13].

Sellen et al. [24] showed that episodic details from a visual “lifelog” can be presented to users as memory cues to assist them in remembering the details of the original experience.

However, the problems related with lifelogging can be found on how far the limit is put. A future where everybody will be using lifelogging technologies could mean a lack of privacy. Every action of someones life would be recorded for someones device or even for the own devices.

With lifelogging people have to live knowing that their actions are being recorded. Taking into account that everyone have the possession of their lifelogs the security of them would be very important, knowing that the vulnerability of them could mean that someone could access to someone’s entry life [18].

Also, this changes could be reflected in some judgments. A traditional collection of photographs gives a skewed version of past events, but with the added problem of a lack of context [16]. Allen [1] gives the example of someone slapping a friend at a party: the incident is captured by a dozen bystanders and the story leaks out. If that person deletes the incident from her lifelog, she will still be prevented from forgetting the incident, even if she has been forgiven.

There are many questions related with the future of lifelogging. And most of them maybe now can not be answer now. The proper development will influence in how many of these questions will be needed to answer in a future and which one will be the answer.
Chapter 8

Conclusions

In this work, it is described the data and the task from the LSC 2018 lifelog search challenge. Also describes the interactive search engine prototype build for the challenge and present the interface and extensions to the basic search engine.

Have been selected a number of facets for the query interface and have been limited by the nature of the LSC collection, as well as the available screen state for the query-entry process.

Thus, the system lets the user search for specific images from a lifelog database with their context in form of previous and post images and textual data. Additionally, the system lets the user select the images to send them to LSC server for the final evaluation.

According to the questionnaire done it can be affirmed that the UI has a friendly and easy use Interface. The multi-faceted query system lets the user introduce the queries in a fast and easy way. Letting that, in the competition case this system could win some seconds in this first phase.

Also, the common design to display the images and the fact that the main image is bigger giving to it more importance makes that the asked users, all of them familiarized with technology, understand perfectly the way they are displayed.

Finally, the submission is also understood by the different users, but presents a lack of speed due it needs a previous confirmation before making the submission.

Knowing that integrating more facets are likely to increase performance [15], future work will seek to enhance the types and richness of the facets available to the user. In addition, the implementation of free-text search in this first generation lifelog search engine does not include many of the standard text retrieval enhancements such as stemmer or optimized ranking algorithms (e.g. BM25) [20].

Also, a better perform of the submission system of the images has to be done to increase the speed but giving the same security that has the system with the alert box before making the submission.

Finally, more ideas to improve the system go through storing in the database the previous searches done and letting the user delete some images from these stored searches, improving the speed of the system trying other algorithms or improving the conceptual data using some computational vision algorithms to make the retrieval more precise.

For future work, consideration should be given to optimizing the system components.
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Appendix A

Using the System in the competition

The system is configured in a computer working in the localhost. This means that only can access to the system the computers where it is configured.

Previously, the system was configured in a computer of DCU installations and also saved in a repository using Github. This made that it was only working in one computer and was not a laptop so it was impossible to work with it in Japan.

Due to it has to work in every computer the solution found is working with a Virtual Machine. A Virtual Machine is an emulation of a computer system. They provide functionality needed to execute entire operating systems. So with a VM is possible to work with another operating system different from the main once that we have installed in the computer. It is like having one computer that can works inside the main one.
For doing that we used VirtualBox. It is a free open-source virtual machine monitor developed by Oracle Corporation.

With VirtualBox we created a VM with Ubuntu 16.04 as an operating system. Inside this VM the system is configured thanks to the repository files previously saved in Github

Once the system is configured is just needed to export it to a .ova file. This kind of file lets us import the entire VM to another VirtualBox letting make the VM work in another computer with the system previously configured.

However, it is the best option to make it work it have some limitations. The limitations are related with the disk space and the RAM.

The VM occupies a size of about 19 GB. The dataset of images means 9.4 GB and then installing the Ubuntu operating system to the VM and the needed libraries an programs means the other 9.6 GB.
It means that not a simple laptop can work with it.

On the other hand, related with the RAM we can choose how many RAM is given to the VM. As many RAM is given to the system as fast it will work. Due to the speed is one of the important things of our system it is needed to give as many RAM as it is possible to the VM.

Find the repository on https://github.com/adrialsina
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Paper for LSC 2018

For participating in LSC 2018 writing a paper is needed. In this paper is explained in a general way what about the system.
This paper is also published on https://imatge.upc.edu
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ABSTRACT
In this work, we describe an interactive lifelog search engine developed for the LSC 2018 search challenge at ACM ICMR 2018. The paper introduces the four-step process required to support lifelog search engines and describes the source data for the search engine as well as the approach to ranking chosen for the iterative search engine. Finally the interface used is introduced before we highlight the limits of the current prototype and suggest opportunities for future work.
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1 INTRODUCTION
Lifelogging is a new concept and has only recently attracted the attention of the research community [7]. One standard definition of lifelogging states that it is "the action or practice of making a continuous record of one’s daily activities by means of a digital device or computer application". The growing research interest in lifelogging has been facilitated by the market-availability of a range of lifelogging and quantified self devices that can digitally capture a wide range of life activities, from wearable cameras for all-of-lifelogging to the more targeted health and wellness devices commonly referred to as quantified self devices [9].

With such a range of lifelogging devices, one can easily gather, in an automated manner, a wide range of data about the life experience of the individual, such as image data from smartphones or wearable cameras, audio recordings, location data, biometric data, to name only a few.

The increase of interest in lifelogging has resulted in many different research challenges being developed, such as the NTCIR lifelog task Semantic Access Task (LSAT) of the the NTCIR-12 challenge [6] and the ImageCLEF [8] lifelog task. This LSC lifelog task posed unique challenges due to the interactive nature of the task, hence we felt that our retrieval approaches would be suitable for this task. The interactive nature of the challenge means that any system developed for the LSC would need to be optimised to select or locate relevant content from a comparatively small archive of lifelog data, which in this case, consisted of multimedia data from wearable cameras, biometric data from smartwatches, human activity data from smartphones and computer usage data to identify information access activities.

Without there having been similar tasks in the past, there are not many interactive retrieval engines that were developed for lifelog data previously. The seminal MyLifeBits [5] project at Microsoft also developed a lifelog retrieval engine based on an underlying database system, which is generally regarded as the first lifelog retrieval system. The LEMoRe [2], an interactive lifelog retrieval engine, developed in the context of the Lifelog Semantic Access Task (LSAT) of the the NTCIR-12 challenge [6]. LEMoRe integrated classical image descriptors with high-level semantic concepts extracted by Convolutional Neural Networks [13], powered by a graphical user interface that uses natural language processing to process a user’s query. Finally, Doherty et al. [4], developed an interactive event-driven lifelog browser for visual lifelog data that segmented days into events, based on analysis of visual and sensor data, organising and linking events together in a single diary-style interface. Extending this work, an interactive faceted lifelog search engine [3] was developed that allowed the user to select a number of contextual factors in order to find an event of interest from an archive that spanned a number of years.

Building on experiences from this past work, we have developed the experimental search engine which forms the main contribution of this paper. The general approach taken for this prototype is to develop an indexing and filtering tool, utilising an SQL database [5], with a customised interface that is designed to support fast free-text and faceted search (faceted search based on [3]). The difference between this search engine and the preceding efforts is that free text search, different facets, and the interface presentation that considers the temporal context of the lifelogger when presenting ranked or filtered results.

2 A FOUR-STEP APPROACH TO INTERACTIVE LIFELOG RETRIEVAL
In designing our lifelog retrieval engine, we separate the task into four challenges:
The LSC lifelog dataset consists of a wide array of multimodal content from the dataset. These images were later selected for every image was annotated with the appropriate enriched semantic day (morning, afternoon, evening).

This was used to time-align the data for indexing. We also utilised this time information to create a semantic time annotation for every moment in the collection, such as day-of-the-week or time-of-the-day (morning, afternoon, evening).

We will now explain how we solved each of these four challenges.

2.1 Data Selection and Enrichment

The LSC lifelog dataset consists of a wide array of multimodal data of an individual’s life experience captured via wearable and software sensors. The dataset was gathered over a period of 27 days by a single individual and it includes:

- Multimedia data: Represents about 1,500 images per day taken by a wearable camera. So, if we have 27 days of data it means that we have a database of images about 40,500 images. These images are accompanied by the output of a concept detector which identifies the dominant visual concepts in every image. In addition, the music listening history of the lifelogger is included, though we did not make use of it in this prototype.
- Biometric data: It is formed for biometric data like heart rate, galvanic skin response, calorie burn and steps stored almost every minute of the day. Also we have data about blood pressure and blood sugar daily. In this search engine, we utilised the heart rate data and segmented it into three categories (resting, normal, and physically active).
- Human activity data: Consists in information about the semantic locations visited, the physical activities, the daily mood and a diet log made of manual logging of photos. We focused on the semantic locations and physical activities in the search engine.
- Computer Usage: Represents a vector of every minute stored data filtered using blacklist, anonymised and then stemmed. We did not use this data in this work.

In addition, each data item described above is timestamped and this was used to time-align the data for indexing. We also utilised this time information to create a semantic time annotation for every moment in the collection, such as day-of-the-week or time-of-the-day (morning, afternoon, evening).

The image formed the main unit of retrieval for this system and every image was annotated with the appropriate enriched semantic content from the dataset. These images were later selected for display to the user during the interactive retrieval process.

2.2 Multi-faceted query interface

Given the interactive nature of the LSC exercise, the design of the interface, both for rapid querying and efficient browsing of result sets becomes the most important task. Borrowing from the standard WWW-interface for faceted search systems (e.g. hotel booking or flight booking), we designed the interface with two sections, as in Figure 1. On the left side the query panel is displayed which contains the faceted and free-text query elements. On the right side is the result display panel.

Given the fact that the number of unique annotated terms in the collection could be very large (especially in terms of visual concepts and locations), it was decided to replace the typical drop-down list with a free-text search box on the query panel. This search box matched against content in any of the underlying data sources and is hoped to simplify the use of the system for the user. Given a limited lexicon of available search terms, the search box offered auto-completion of input terms, which assists the user in formulating free text queries. In addition to the free-text search, some more conventional faceted search filter options were available:

- Day-of-the-Week selector to filter content by the day the event represented in the topic occurred.
- Calendar selector to choose and exact date.
- Moment-of-the-Day selector to filter content that occurs at certain times of the day.
- Place selector to allow filtering into indoor/outdoor events.
- Heart-rate selector to choose the various degrees of physical activity of the lifelogger.

In terms of technologies the client interface is developed using the JavaScript library React.js. The server-side data storage is handled by SQL Server and communication is via the python library Flask. The technologies are chosen so as to optimise the speed of handing every faceted query change and the system has been designed to be responsive to any facet updates.

2.3 Ranking Engine

The ranking engine used in this interactive lifelog search tool integrates TF-IDF ranking for the free-text search as well as the faceted
filtering mechanism. TF-IDF is a statistical approach to information retrieval that is intended to reflect how important a word is in our database, so the words that appear less in our database have a higher score than words that appear more often. The free text search implements standard enhancements, such as stopword removal and term stemming for the English language. Since the annotations for the LSC dataset are generated automatically by content analysis tools, the natural variability in human text does not occur in the LSC collection. Hence, concepts such as term frequency weighting of terms are unlikely to play any significant role in the ranking process. However, the inverse document frequency concept from information retrieval is important in that it allows for the higher ranking of concepts and our use of TF-IDF ranking includes the inverse document frequency weighing in the IDF component.

This ranked list from the free-text search is filtered by the other data facets, such as time of day, day of week, or location, as is commonly employed for interactive retrieval systems [14]. The result is a ranked list of filtered images for presentation to the user.

One enhancement to the ranked list is that the context of the image is also considered in the scoring process. The previous three images and the following three images contribute (on a sliding scale) to the overall score of the main image. This is based on previous successful deployments in interactive video retrieval systems [1].

2.4 Result List Presentation
Upon submission of a query, the system generates a ranked list of images. The images are displayed as you see in the Figure 1 on the result display panel to the right of the query panel. Rather than simply display a ranked list of images, the system aims to provide some context to the user by integrating two enhancements:

- Providing context to the main image by highlighting metadata regarding the image, such as temporal, location and activity data.
- Highlighting the visual context of the image by borrowing from concepts previously used in interactive video retrieval systems [1], the visual context of each ranked image is displayed by the highlighting of 1 or 2 (shown in Figure 1) previously occurring and following images. Given the passive nature of lifelog data capture, a naïve approach would be to simply select the previous occurring image(s) to be shown on screen. However, lifelog data tends to be very repetitive, so a judicial approach is used which only shows the previews and following images if they are sufficiently visually different from the ranked image in terms of the visual content and the concept annotations. We include this functionality because the development topics contained some temporal topics that require knowledge about the preceding or succeeding activities of the lifelogger.

Upon finding a potentially relevant image for a topic, the user selects an image which submits it to the LSC server for validation.

3 CONCLUSION
In this paper, we describe the data and the task from the LSC 2018 lifelog search challenge. We describe the prototype interactive search engine that we built for the challenge and we presented the interface and extensions to the basic search engine.

We have selected a number of facets for the query interface (as described above) and we are limited by the nature of the LSC collection, as well as the available screen estate for the query-entry process. Knowing that integrating more facets are likely to increase performance [10], future work will seek to enhance the types and richness of the facets available to the user. In addition, the implementation of free-text search in this first generation lifelog search engine does not include many of the standard text retrieval enhancements such as relevance feedback [12] or optimised ranking algorithms (e.g. BM25) [11]. For future work, consideration should be given to optimising the system components.
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